

## Table of Contents

<b>Resolution No. 23-100</b> .....	<b>1</b>
<b>Resolution No. VWD 23-015</b> .....	
Exhibit A: DIF Master Fee Schedule .....	11
Exhibit B: City Council Policy, CP-23-02 .....	13
Exhibit C: Capital Improvement Plan .....	20

## RESOLUTION NO. 23-100

### RESOLUTION OF THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF VICTORVILLE, CALIFORNIA, ADOPTING AN UPDATED CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT PLAN, REVISED CITY COUNCIL POLICY CP-23-02 AND AN UPDATED COMPREHENSIVE MASTER FEE SCHEDULE FOR CERTAIN SERVICE AND DEVELOPMENT-RELATED FEES FOR CITY SERVICES

**WHEREAS**, Victorville Municipal Code (VMC) Section 16-5.01.080 authorizes and outlines the imposition of development impact fees applicable to all development in the City of Victorville (“City”) in accordance with applicable laws including, but not limited to, Government Code section 66000, *et seq.* (the Mitigation Fee Act), and allows for development impact fee amounts to be set by City Council resolution; and

**WHEREAS**, development impact fee amounts were most recently established or updated by Resolution No. 22-050; and

**WHEREAS**, City Council Policy No. CP-23-02; was previously adopted to establish the guidelines for implementation of development impact fees and capacity fees; and

**WHEREAS**, the City must periodically review development impact fees to ensure they are sufficient to mitigate the impacts of new development on the associated capital facilities and other improvements needed to maintain the existing level of public service; and

**WHEREAS**, on June 7, 2022, by means of Resolution No. 22-045, the City Council adopted two reports from N.B.S. Government Finance Group entitled “City of Victorville Development Impact Fee Study” and “Sewer Capacity Fee Study” and a report from DTA entitled “Development Impact Fee Justification Study Regional Drainage Facilities” (collectively, the Nexus Studies) which analyzed and established the relationship, or nexus, between the imposition of an updated development impact fee program (Development Impact Fees or Fees) and the estimated, reasonable costs of constructing the City capital facilities for which the Fees are charged; and

**WHEREAS**, pursuant to Government Code Section 66013 (a part of the Mitigation Fee Act) the City is authorized to establish and impose facility capacity charges for public facilities in existence at the time a charge is imposed or for new public facilities to be acquired or constructed in the future that are of proportional benefit to the person or property being charged, including supply or facility capacity contracts for rights or entitlements, real property interests, and entitlements and other rights of the local agency involving capital expense relating to its use of existing or new public facilities (Sewer Capacity Charges); and

**WHEREAS**, on June 7, 2022, in accordance with the requirements of Assembly Bill 602, and also by means of Resolution No. 22-045, the City Council adopted a Capital Improvement Plan that establishes public facilities pursuant to Government Code section 66000(d) which the Development Impact Fees and Sewer Capacity Charges will fund; and

**WHEREAS**, the Nexus Studies established updated maximum fees/charges by development type for Parks and Recreation, Fire Protection, Police, Public Buildings, Road Improvements, Sewer Capacity, and Regional Drainage, and established new fees for Libraries and Administration of the development impact fees program; and

**WHEREAS**, there is no requirement to implement fees/charges at the maximum rate determined through the Nexus Studies and it is within the discretion of the City Council to establish fees/charges at amounts less than the specified maximum to further the strategic goals of the City; and

**WHEREAS**, the City Council desires to encourage development by phasing in and reducing certain impact fees and creating other options that will foster development in certain areas of the City and support certain project types to help to ensure that the City continues a steady rate of growth needed for the economic viability of retail, commercial, residential, and industrial development, all of which increase employment opportunities for many individuals, including, but not limited to, those that live within the City and/or do business within the City; and

**WHEREAS**, by means of Resolution No. 22-045, adopted a four-year phased in implementation of residential DIF fees to foster residential development and fees will be in year-two of the phased implementation plan, effective January 1, 2024; and

**WHEREAS**, City Council Policy No. CP-22-01, requires an annual review of the Development Impact Fee and Capacity Charges council policy, Capital Improvement Plan and application of the California Construction Cost Index (CCI) to applicable fees; and

**WHEREAS**, the Master Fee Schedule for Development Impact Fees and Capacity Charges (attached hereto as Exhibit A), in combination with City Council Policy No. CP-23-02 (attached hereto as Exhibit B), and the Capital Improvement Plan (Exhibit C), outline the fees and charges, the implementation guidelines recommended to achieve this development encouragement strategy and projects to be funded by the fees; and

**WHEREAS**, the Master Fee Schedule for Development Impact Fees and Capacity Charges, City Council Policy No. CP-23-02 and Capital Improvement Plan have been made available for public review with copies on file in the City Clerk's office and posted on the City's website; and

**WHEREAS**, in accordance with the requirement of the Mitigation Fee Act, notice of a public hearing to be held on December 19, 2023, was published in the Valley Wide Newspaper on December 8, 2023, with a second notice thereof published on December 15, 2023; and

**WHEREAS**, the City Council held and conducted a public hearing on December 19, 2023, in accordance with the duly published public hearing notice, to review and consider the adoption of the Master Fee Schedule for Development Impact Fees and Capacity Charges, City Council Policy No. CP-23-02 and Capital Improvement Plan; and

**WHEREAS**, on December 19, 2023, by means of adopting Resolution No. 23-100, the City Council made findings stating that: (1) the purpose of the Development Impact Fees is to prevent new development from reducing the quality and availability of public services provided to residents of the City by requiring new development to contribute to the cost of additional public facilities needed to meet the additional demands placed on public services by new development; (2) the Development Impact Fees collected will be used for the acquisition, installation, and construction of the public facilities identified in the Nexus Studies and associated Capital Improvement Plan; (3) a reasonable relationship exists between the use of Development Impact Fees and the type of development project on which the fees are imposed as described in detail in the Nexus Studies and Capital Improvement Plan; and (4) a reasonable relationship exists between the amount of the Development Impact Fees and the cost of the public facilities attributable to the development on which the Fees are imposed as indicated by the Nexus Studies, and the method of allocation of the respective Fees to a particular development project bears a fair relationship, and is roughly proportional to, the development project's burden on, and benefits from, public facilities to be funded by the Development Impact Fees; and

**WHEREAS**, by adopting Resolution No. 23-101, the City Council made findings that: (1) the amount of Sewer Capacity Charges did not exceed the estimated reasonable cost of the services and public improvements/facilities for which such Sewer Capacity Charges were being imposed; (2) the public improvements/facilities are of proportional benefit to person or property being charged; and

**WHEREAS**, adoption of the Master Fee Schedule for Development Impact Fees and Capacity Charges, City Council Policy CP-23-02 and Capital Improvement Plan will establish the Development Impact Fees, including the Sewer Capacity Charges, that may be imposed by development type under the various Fee categories and fund certain capital projects as established in the Capital Improvement Plan.

**NOW, THEREFORE, THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF VICTORVILLE DOES HEREBY RESOLVE AS FOLLOWS:**

**SECTION 1.** The recitals set forth above are true and correct and are incorporated into this Resolution by reference together with any definitions and findings set forth therein.

**SECTION 2.** The City Council has reviewed and hereby approves and adopts the Master Fee Schedule for Development Impact Fees and Capacity Charges attached hereto as Exhibit A, City Council Policy CP-23-02 attached hereto as Exhibit B and Capital Improvement Plan, attached herein as Exhibit C. Adoption of the Master Fee Schedule for Development Impact Fees and Capacity Charges will approve updated fees and charges to be implemented in accordance with guidelines found in City Council Policy No. CP-23-02, including without limitation the Annual Cost Adjustment to such fees and charges as set forth in Section III of said policy.

**SECTION 3.** The City Council hereby reaffirms the Mitigation Fee Act findings previously made as set forth in Sections 3 and 4 of Resolution No. 22-045 and the recitals hereof with respect to the fees and charges being adopted in the Master Fee Schedule for Development Impact Fees and Capacity Charges attached hereto as Exhibit A as it relates to non-residential fees and year two of the originally adopted phased implementation of residential DIF fees.

**SECTION 4.** Effective as of January 1, 2024, City Council Policy Nos. CP-22-01 is hereby superseded and replaced with CP-23-02.

**SECTION 5.** The City Council finds that the California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) does not apply to the adoption of this Resolution, pursuant to Sections 15061 and 15273 of the State CEQA Guidelines because: (1) to the extent that any of the fees or charges set forth in the Master Fee Schedule for Development Impact Fees and Capacity Charges adopted by this Resolution are actually imposed and collected, such fees or charges will be for the purposes of obtaining funds for capital projects necessary to maintain the current levels of service within existing service areas to serve new development; and (2) to the extent that any of the fees or charges set forth in the Master Fee Schedule for Development Impact Fees and Capacity Charges adopted by this Resolution are actually imposed and collected and used to fund new facilities, the construction of those facilities will not take place until there has been CEQA review of the development projects which will pay the fees, and the construction of each public facility will be subject to CEQA review. Therefore, it can be seen with certainty that the adoption of this Resolution establishing these fees will not have a significant effect on the environment.

**SECTION 6.** This Resolution shall take effect immediately upon its adoption. However, the fees and charges shall go into effect as of March 1, 2024, subject to the provisions of City Council Policy No. CP-23-02 attached hereto as Exhibit B.

**SECTION 7.** The City Clerk shall certify to the passage and adoption of this Resolution; shall enter the same in the book of original Resolutions; and shall make a minute of passage and adoption thereof in the records of the proceedings, in the minutes of the meeting at which this Resolution is passed and adopted.

Resolution No. VWD 23-015

## RESOLUTION NO. VWD 23-015

### A RESOLUTION OF THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS OF THE VICTORVILLE WATER DISTRICT ADOPTING THE WATER DISTRICT RELATED PORTIONS OF THE UPDATED CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT PLAN, REVISED CITY COUNCIL POLICY CP-23-02 AND AN UPDATED COMPREHENSIVE MASTER USER FEE SCHEDULE FOR CERTAIN WATER DISTRICT SERVICE AND DEVELOPMENT RELATED FEES

**WHEREAS**, the Victorville Water District (the “**District**”), is a county water district and subsidiary district of the City of Victorville (the “**City**” or “**Victorville**”); and

**WHEREAS**, the District constructs, owns, operates, improves, and maintains the water system and related facilities and infrastructure that serves the District's existing customers and provides water service availability to new customers and new developments within the jurisdictional boundaries of the District; and

**WHEREAS**, pursuant to Government Code Section 66013 (a part of the Mitigation Fee Act, *Government Code § 66000 et seq.*) the District is authorized to establish and impose facility capacity charges for public facilities in existence at the time a charge is imposed or for new public facilities to be acquired or constructed in the future that are of proportional benefit to the person or property being charged, including supply or facility capacity contracts for rights or entitlements, real property interests, and entitlements and other rights of the local agency involving capital expense relating to its use of existing or new public facilities (“**Water System Capacity Charges**”); and

**WHEREAS**, the governing bodies of local agencies, including the District Board of Directors (“**District Board**”), may approve and adopt fee studies and charges, including those associated with Water System Capacity Charges, by resolution; and

**WHEREAS**, the District Board must periodically review Water System Capacity Charges to ensure they are sufficient to mitigate the impacts of new development on the associated capital facilities and other improvements needed to maintain the existing level of public service and to ensure they reflect the estimated reasonable costs of providing the improvements and facilities for which they are being charged; and

**WHEREAS**, on June 7, 2022, by means of Resolution No. VWD 22-005, the District Board adopted the relevant portion of a report from N.B.S. Government Finance Group entitled “City of Victorville Development Impact Fee Study (the “**Nexus Study**”), Chapter 8 of which provided the calculation basis and methodology for the proposed Water System Capacity Charges and reflected the estimated, reasonable costs of constructing the water improvements and facilities for which said fees are being charged; and

**WHEREAS**, on June 7, 2022, in accordance with the requirements of Assembly Bill 602 and by means of adopting Resolution No. VWD-22-005, the District Board adopted those portions of a Capital Improvement Plan that established public facilities pursuant to

Government Code section 66000(d) which the Water System Capacity Charges would fund; and

**WHEREAS**, Chapter 8 of the Nexus Study established the updated maximum Water System Capacity Charges for the District's water system improvements associated with new development, which said maximum included a new percentage component for administration of the City's development impact fees program; and

**WHEREAS**, the District has compared the maximum Water System Capacity Charges established through the adoption of the Nexus Study with other agencies and collected feedback from various stakeholders as to the potential impact of increased fees on development projects, especially those already considered to be significantly underway; and

**WHEREAS**, there is no requirement to implement fees/charges at the maximum rate determined through the Nexus Study and it is within the discretion of the District Board to establish fees/charges at an amount less than the maximum to further the strategic goals of the City; and

**WHEREAS**, together with the City Council, the District Board of Directors desires to encourage development by phasing in and reducing certain impact fees and creating other options that will foster development in certain areas of the City and for certain project types to help to ensure that the City continues a steady rate of growth needed for the economic viability of retail, commercial, residential, and industrial development, all of which increase employment opportunities for many individuals, including, but not limited to, those that live within the City and/or do business within the City; and

**WHEREAS**, by means of Resolution No. VWD 22-005, adopted a four-year phased in implementation of residential DIF fees to foster residential development and fees will be in year-two of the phased implementation plan, effective January 1, 2024; and

**WHEREAS**, City Council Policy No. CP-22-01, requires an annual review of the Development Impact Fee and Capacity Charges council policy, Capital Improvement Plan and application of the California Construction Cost Index (CCI) to applicable fees; and

**WHEREAS**, the Master Fee Schedule for Development Impact Fees and Capacity Charges (attached hereto as Exhibit A), in combination with City Council Policy No. CP-23-02 (attached hereto as Exhibit B), and the Capital Improvement Plan (Exhibit C), outline the fees and charges, the implementation guidelines recommended to achieve this development encouragement strategy and projects to be funded by the fees; and

**WHEREAS**, the Master Fee Schedule for Development Impact Fees and Capacity Charges, City Council Policy No. CP-23-02 and Capital Improvement Plan have been made available for public review with copies on file in the City Clerk/Board Secretary's office and posted on the City/Board's website; and



**WHEREAS**, in accordance with the requirement of the Mitigation Fee Act, notice of a public hearing to be held on December 19, 2023, was published in the Valley Wide Newspaper on December 8, 2023, with a second notice thereof published on December 15, 2023; and

**WHEREAS**, the City Council held and conducted a public hearing on December 19, 2023, in accordance with the duly published public hearing notice, to review and consider the adoption of the Master Fee Schedule for Development Impact Fees and Capacity Charges, City Council Policy No. CP-23-02 and Capital Improvement Plan; and

**WHEREAS**, by adopting Resolution No. VWD 23-015, the District Board made findings that: (1) the amount of Water System Capacity Charges did not exceed the estimated reasonable cost of the services and public improvements/facilities for which such Water System Capacity Charges were being imposed; (2) the allocation of those costs were fair or reasonable in relationship to the burdens on, or benefits that those who pay a Water System Capacity Charge will receive from such services and facilities; and (3) the public improvements/facilities are of proportional benefit to person or property being charged; and

**WHEREAS**, adoption of those portions of the Master Fee Schedule for Water System Capacity Charges and those portions of City Council Policy CP-23-02 and Capital Improvement Plan relating thereto will establish the Water System Capacity Charges that may be imposed by development type under the various Fee categories.

**NOW, THEREFORE, THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS OF THE VICTORVILLE WATER DISTRICT DOES HEREBY RESOLVE AS FOLLOWS:**

**SECTION 1.** The recitals set forth above are true and correct and are incorporated into this Resolution by reference, together with any definitions and findings set forth therein.

**SECTION 2.** The District Board has reviewed and hereby approves and adopts those portions of the Master Fee Schedule for Development Impact Fees and Capacity Charges attached hereto as Exhibit A, portions of City Council Policy CP-23-02 attached hereto as Exhibit B, relating to water system improvements and the district related projects within the Capital Improvement Plan as listed in Exhibit C. Adoption of the Master Fee Schedule for Development Impact Fees and Capacity Charges will update Fees and Charges to be implemented in accordance with guidelines found in the City Council Policy No. CP-23-02, including without limitation the Annual Cost Adjustment to such fees and charges as set forth in Section III of said policy.

**SECTION 3.** The District Board hereby reaffirms the Mitigation Fee Act findings previously made as set forth in Section 3 Resolution No. VWD 22-005 and the recitals hereof with respect to the Water System Capacity Charges being adopted in the Master Fee Schedule attached hereto as Exhibit A as it relates to non-residential fees and year two of the originally adopted phased implementation of residential DIF fees.

**SECTION 4.** All resolutions or administrative actions by the District Board, or parts thereof

that are inconsistent with any provision of this Resolution, including without limitation, VWD Resolution No. 22-007, are hereby superseded, but only to the extent of such inconsistency.

**SECTION 5.** The District Board finds that the California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) does not apply to the adoption of this Resolution, pursuant to Sections 15061 and 15273 of the State CEQA Guidelines because: (1) to the extent that any of the fees or charges set forth in the Master Fee Schedule for Water System Capacity Charges adopted by this Resolution are actually imposed and collected, such fees or charges will be for the purposes of obtaining funds for capital projects necessary to maintain the current levels of service within existing service areas to serve new development; and (2) to the extent that any of the fees or charges set forth in the Master Fee Schedule for Water System Capacity Charges adopted by this Resolution are actually imposed and collected and used to fund new facilities, the construction of those facilities will not take place until there has been CEQA review of the development projects which will pay the fees, and the construction of each public facility will be subject to CEQA review. Therefore, it can be seen with certainty that the adoption of this Resolution establishing these fees will not have a significant effect on the environment.

**SECTION 6.** This Resolution shall take effect immediately upon its adoption. However, the Water System Capacity Charges shall go into effect as of March 1, 2024, subject to the applicable provisions of City Council Policy No. CP-22-02 attached hereto as Exhibit B.

**SECTION 7.** The District Secretary shall certify to the passage and adoption of this Resolution; shall enter the same in the book of original Resolutions of the District; and shall make a minute of passage and adoption thereof in the records of the proceedings of the District, in the minutes of the meeting at which this Resolution is passed and adopted.

Exhibit A  
DIF Master Fee Schedule



**CITY OF VICTORVILLE DEVELOPMENT IMPACT FEES  
MASTER FEE SCHEDULE**

Adopted 12/19/2023  
Non-Residential  
Effective 3/1/2024

Non-Residential Development	Unit	Fire Protection	Police	Public Buildings	Road Improvements	Admin. Fee (.2% of total)
Retail/Service Commercial	KSF	\$ 508.35	\$ 109.28	\$ 449.04	\$ 8,993.02	\$ 20.12
Professional Office	KSF	\$ 696.04	\$ 87.42	\$ 359.24	\$ 8,365.60	\$ 19.02
Lodging	Room	\$ 258.08	\$ 48.68	\$ 200.03	\$ 3,863.35	\$ 8.74
Industrial/Business Park	KSF	\$ 54.74	\$ 28.81	\$ 118.38	\$ 4,182.80	\$ 8.77
High-Cube Warehouse	KSF	\$ 7.82	\$ 19.87	\$ 81.65	\$ 1,016.67	\$ 2.25
Self-Service Storage	KSF	\$ 23.47	\$ 0.99	\$ 4.08	\$ 1,728.34	\$ 3.51
Gasoline/Service Station [5]	Pump	\$ 656.94	\$ 12.91	\$ 53.07	\$ 49,816.84	\$ 101.08
Institutional	KSF	\$ 70.39	\$ 23.84	\$ 97.97	\$ 6,608.35	\$ 13.60
Public Facilities	KSF	\$ 703.86	\$ 87.42	\$ 343.54	\$ 9,556.70	\$ 21.38

Non-Residential Development	Unit	Sewer	Admin. Fee (.2% of total)
Retail/Service Commercial	EDU	\$ 2,998.02	\$ 6.00
Professional Office	EDU	\$ 2,998.02	\$ 6.00
Lodging	EDU	\$ 2,998.02	\$ 6.00
Industrial/Business Park	EDU	\$ 2,998.02	\$ 6.00
High-Cube Warehouse	EDU	\$ 2,998.02	\$ 6.00
Self-Service Storage	EDU	\$ 2,998.02	\$ 6.00
Gasoline/Service Station [5]	EDU	\$ 2,998.02	\$ 6.00
Institutional	EDU	\$ 2,998.02	\$ 6.00
Public Facilities	EDU	\$ 2,998.02	\$ 6.00

Non-Residential Development	Water System Capacity Charge	Admin. Fee (.2% of total)
3/4" Meter	\$ 5,946.47	\$ 11.89
1" Meter	\$ 9,930.61	\$ 19.86
1-1/2" Meter	\$ 19,801.73	\$ 39.60
2" Meter	\$ 31,694.67	\$ 63.39
3" Meter	\$ 59,464.67	\$ 118.93
4" Meter	\$ 99,127.61	\$ 198.26
6" Meter	\$ 198,195.75	\$ 396.39
8" Meter	\$ 317,125.09	\$ 634.25

Non-Residential Development	Unit	Regional Drainage	Admin. Fee (.2% of total)
Retail/Service Commercial	Acre	\$ 23,251.14	\$ 46.50
Professional Office	Acre	\$ 23,251.14	\$ 46.50
Lodging	Acre	\$ 23,251.14	\$ 46.50
Industrial/Business Park	Acre	\$ 23,251.14	\$ 46.50
High-Cube Warehouse	Acre	\$ 23,251.14	\$ 46.50
Self-Service Storage	Acre	\$ 23,251.14	\$ 46.50
Gasoline/Service Station [5]	Acre	\$ 23,251.14	\$ 46.50
Institutional	Acre	\$ 23,251.14	\$ 46.50
Public Facilities	Acre	\$ 23,251.14	\$ 46.50

[5] Canopy only; Convenience store, carwash, etc. calculated separately at Retail/Service Commercial rates.

Exhibit B  
City Council Policy,  
CP-23-02

**City of Victorville  
Council Policy**

<b>SUBJECT:</b>	<b>Policy No. CP-23-02</b> (Rescinding Policy No. CP22-01)
<b>Development Impact Fees and Capacity Charges</b>	<b>Effective: March 1, 2023</b>

I. Purpose

The purpose of this policy is to establish guidelines for the implementation of Development Impact Fees (DIF) for Parks and Recreation, Libraries, Fire Protection, Police, Public Buildings, Road Improvements, Regional Drainage, as well as Capacity Charges for Sewer and Water and the associated Administrative Fee as adopted by the City Council and Board of Directors for the Victorville Water District. This policy does not govern the collection of fees by the City on behalf of outside agencies, such as VVWRA or any of the local school districts.

II. Implementation Timeline

A. Grandfathering of Projects – Certain projects, subject to the terms and conditions outlined below, shall be exempt from new DIF and Capacity Charges. In these instances, projects shall only be subject to DIF and Capacity Charges in effect prior to January 1, 2023. The exemption shall expire for any projects that have not pulled building permits before January 1, 2025, at which point projects shall become subject to DIF and Capacity Charges in effect at that time.

a. Single Family Residential Tracts – Projects for residential tracts within Victorville would be exempt from new DIF and Capacity Charges if they are significantly underway as of June 30, 2022; meaning the project has a final map submission to the City for plan check review, recorded Final Maps (including a recorded Dash Map within an approved, unexpired Tentative Tract Map) or is in the Final Map Approval Process.

i. For this policy, the following definitions shall apply:

1. Final Map Approval Process - having an approved, unexpired tentative map with an active improvement plan check being processed by the City as of June 30, 2022.
2. Dash Map - a phased tract map with a dash between the tract number and phase number.

- b. Multi-family Developments – Projects that are fully entitled or have submitted a Complete Entitlement Application to the Planning Department prior to January 1, 2023, are exempt from new DIF and Capacity Charges.
  - i. For this policy, the following definitions shall apply:
    - 1. Complete Entitlement Application - the submission of all the required application filing requirements to entitle a project that is deemed complete by City staff within 30 days of the submission.
- c. Non-Residential Developments – All non-residential projects (e.g. commercial and industrial) that have received project entitlements from the Planning Commission and have submitted construction plans to the Building Department for plan check prior to January 1, 2023.

### III. Annual Cost Adjustment

Following the initial effective date, DIF and Capacity Charges shall be adjusted In December of each year by utilizing the *Engineering News Record* Building Cost Index July over July comparison, with an effective date of March 1st. For Single Family Residential Tracts and Multi-Family Development projects subject to phased implementation. To the extent that any DIF or Capacity Charges are approved for phased implementation, the annual cost adjustment shall be applied starting on March 1st of the first year after the final phase is implemented and shall be based on a single year adjustment (not a cumulative adjustment covering multiple years).

### IV. Fee Collection

The collection of DIF and Capacity Charges shall be required prior to, but not later than, the time of final inspection. If a developer is requesting full release of all utilities prior to final inspection, including gas and electric service, these fees may be required to be paid at that time.

### V. Determination of Applicable Fee

Except for grandfathering of projects outlined in Section II, or as otherwise required by law, the applicable DIF and Capacity Charges will be determined based on the date of submittal of an application for a permit to the Building Department. The application must be for the specific building or improvement for which the fee would be applied. For example, within a tract of homes, the permit application would have to be for a specific home on a specific lot. Separate grading permits or permits for other types of improvements would not have the effect of establishing the DIF and Capacity Charges. This rate will remain the effective rate while the plan check for that application remains active. If the plan check expires, the applicant will have to submit a new application and will be subject to any DIF and Capacity Charge

updates that have occurred between the initial expired submittal and the new submittal.

## VI. Exemptions

The following categories of projects shall be exempt from the requirement for the payment of DIF and Capacity Charges.

- A. Old Town – Projects located within the Old Town Specific Plan geographical area.
- B. City-owned Property – Development of facilities on land owned by the City or any of its subsidiary agencies, provided it is developed for public use or benefits the health, safety and welfare of the community at large.
- C. Accessory Dwelling Units (ADUs) less than 750 sq. ft.

## VII. Credits Toward Applicable Fees

A developer may be eligible to receive credits for certain public improvements constructed by the developer to offset DIF and Capacity Charges.

Criteria establishing the eligibility for fee credits for a category of public improvements are specific to that fee category as described below.

### A. Park Land and Park Improvements

1. Land dedicated to the City by a developer, for the use of a planned City park, may be eligible for a DIF credit if it is determined that the land will support the development of a park that will benefit the community in a manner consistent with the City's Parks and Recreation Master Plan. An appraisal report prepared by a qualified appraiser shall be submitted to the City for review. The dollar amount of the credit will be based on an appraised value found to be acceptable to the City.
2. Park improvements constructed by a developer for the use of a planned City park, may be eligible for a DIF credit if it is determined that the park improvements will benefit the community in a manner consistent with the City's Parks and Recreation Master Plan.

### B. Road Improvements

1. The maximum Road DIF credit available is 67 percent of the Road DIF collected for the development, which is the "Local Road Improvements" portion of the cost estimate in the most recent DIF Study, or the cost of the eligible road improvements, whichever is less. The remaining 33 percent of the Road DIF paid is reserved for the SBCTA Nexus Study Road



Improvements portion of the most recent DIF Study. The percentages may be adjusted based on future DIF study updates.

2. The project must be on the most recently adopted DIF Road Project list.
3. Only the center portion of a road is eligible for credit, because only the center portion was included in the cost estimate for the Road project list. The eligible center width is as follows by street classification:
  - a. Arterial 26 feet
  - b. Major Arterial 38 feet
  - c. Super Arterial 60 feet
4. Utility relocation costs are not eligible. Culvert crossings for drainage are eligible if they are required as part of the approved road improvements, but longitudinal storm drain facilities are not eligible.
5. Projects on the State Highways System are not eligible for Road DIF credits because arterial road projects on the Road Project list are only City roads and interchanges on I-15 will not be constructed by a developer.
6. Road portions outside of the City Limits (an adjacent City or County unincorporated area) are not eligible for Road DIF credits.

#### C. Drainage Improvements

1. The project must be on the most recently adopted DIF Regional Drainage Facilities Project list.
2. Only regional facilities are eligible, which in general, are the larger facilities identified as Regional in a Master Plan of Drainage prepared by the San Bernardino County Flood Control District. Typically, these facilities convey at least 750 cubic feet per second for the peak 100-year storm frequency.
3. For the Southern California Logistics Airport (SCLA), eligible facilities are also identified in the DIF Regional Drainage Facilities Project list and consistent with the facilities identified in the most recent the SCLA Specific Plan update.

#### D. Sewer Collection System Improvements

1. The project must be identified in the latest update of the Sewer Master Plan or a separate sewer study approved by the Engineering Department.
2. The project must increase the capacity of the sewer system.

3. Projects can include replacing an existing sewer main with a main of increased diameter or constructing a new sewer main. Sewer mains must be larger than 8 inches in diameter.

#### E. Water System Improvements

1. The project must be identified in the latest update of the Water Master Plan or a separate water study approved by the Engineering Department.
2. The project must increase the capacity of the water system.
3. Projects can include replacing an existing water main with a main of increased diameter or constructing a new sewer main. Water mains must be larger than 8 inches in diameter.

#### F. Demolished Buildings

Credits for demolished buildings or removed plumbing fixtures may be assigned to new permits on the same property on a like for like basis at the discretion of the Building Official. To qualify for these credits, detailed demolition plans must be submitted with plans for the new development indicating which structures or plumbing fixtures are being removed as part of the project.

#### G. General Requirements for Credits

If a project is determined to be eligible for DIF and/or Capacity Charge credits, the following general requirements shall apply:

1. A letter must be submitted to the City requesting the credit.
2. City staff will determine the eligible credit amount.
3. If the cost of land dedicated or improvements constructed exceeds the amount of DIF and/or Capacity Charge required to be paid by the developer, the developer may be eligible for reimbursement. The reimbursement amount is subject to available City DIF and Capacity Charge funds and must be specified in an agreement.
4. The City's standard Fee Credit Agreement must be used. The standard agreement has a term of five years, after which the agreement becomes void. City Council must approve the agreement.
5. DIF/Capacity Charge credits cannot be transferred to a different development project. The agreement is project specific.

6. Assignment of Road DIF/Capacity Charge credits to another party requires another agreement for the transfer of the credits, and approval by the City Council. The DIF/Capacity Charge credits remain with the land.
7. The improvements shall be installed by a contractor appropriately licensed in the State of California for the type of improvements constructed.
8. State prevailing wage rates shall be paid to the contractors that install the improvements.
9. Improvements shall be designed to City standards in accordance with approved plans by the City Engineer.
10. After construction of the project, the following information is required:
  - a. Supporting documentation for the actual cost of the work.
  - b. General contractor and sub-contractor names and license numbers.
  - c. Signed contracts and lien releases for all contractors and subcontractors associated with the work.
  - d. Certified payroll substantiating that State prevailing wages were paid.
11. The maximum amount of DIF/Capacity Charge credit cannot exceed the actual cost of the eligible improvements unless the developer is eligible for reimbursement in accordance with an approved agreement.
12. The credit is subtracted from the DIF/Capacity Charge required to be paid by the developer. The Building Division administers the DIF/Capacity Charge credits after the agreement is approved.

Exhibit C  
Capital Improvement Plan



*Victorville*



**Development Impact Fee &  
Capacity Fee  
Capital Improvement Plan  
FY 2024**



## SUMMARY

The Mitigation Fee Act (Gov. Code, §66000 – 66025) outlines requirements for establishing, increasing and imposing fees as a condition of development. A “nexus study” must be completed to demonstrate a “reasonable relationship” between the fees, the public facilities to be funded by the fees and the development projects upon which the fees are assessed.

In 2021, the California Legislature passed Assembly Bill (AB) 602 and the Governor signed it into law. AB 602 created new statewide requirements for Development Impact Fees. Among other things, AB 602 added the requirement that large jurisdictions (counties with populations of at least 250,000 or any city located therein, regardless of population) adopt a capital improvement plan as part of their nexus study.

The following sections constitute the required capital improvement plan, outlining projects for public facilities to be funded in whole or in part by development impact fees and capacity fees assessed for development projects in Victorville. In the case of Water System Improvement, Sewer Capacity and Regional Drainage facilities, project availability will vary and align with the time the associated project area is developed. For all other projects, availability is described within the capital improvement plan as follows:

<b>Description</b>	<b>Timeframe to Complete</b>
Short-Term	Estimated within 1-3 years
Mid-Term	Estimated within 4-7 years
Long-Term	Estimated withing 8-10 years

## Parks & Recreation

Facility Name	Facility Cost	Site Acres	Estimated Site Value	Project Total	New Development Cost Share	Alternative Funding Source	Alternative Funding Cost Share	Impact Fee Cost Basis	Timeframe to Complete	Justification	Notes <sup>1</sup>
Sports Complex	\$ 17,300,000.00	15	\$ 850,000.00	\$ 18,150,000.00	50%	Measure P; General Fund; Existing Fund Balance; State & Federal Grants	50%	Estimated based on current construction costs for projects of a similar nature and current commercial land prices.	Mid-Term	The City's 2020- Park & Rec Master Plan on page 89 established a need of 5-8 additional multipurpose rectangular fields for the City's existing population.	The City's 2020- Park & Rec Master Plan established a need for 5-8 additional multipurpose rectangular fields for the City's existing population into 2040.
Civic Plaza Park & Police Station	\$ 2,100,000.00	0	\$ -	\$ 79,000,000.00	3%	Measure P; General Fund; Existing Fund Balance; State & Federal Grants	77%	Conceptual Design	Long-Term	Park amenities outlined in the Park and Rec Master Plan Recommendation.	Amenities Include: Shade Structures Walking Loop Splash Pad Amphitheater Playground Monument Sign
Rectangular Field	\$ 350,000.00	0	\$ -	\$ 350,000.00	0%	Existing DIF Fund Balance	100%	Estimate based on current construction costs for similar projects	Short-Term	Park and Rec Master Plan Recommendation.	Two Lighted fields to be added at Eva Dell Park
Westside Dog Park	\$ 100,000.00	0	\$ 0	\$ 100,000.00	50%	Measure P; General Fund; Existing Fund Balance; State & Federal Grants	50%	Estimate based on current construction costs for similar projects	Mid-Term	The Park & Rec Master Plan established the need for (3) dog parks located within the City.	Cost is for fencing, amenities, shade structure & ADA access for a 20,000 sq.ft. dog park.
Sunset Ridge Slash Pad	\$ 795,785.92	2.75	\$ 1,692,632.70	\$ 2,488,418.62	50%	Measure P; General Fund; Existing Fund Balance; State & Federal Grants	50%	Estimated based on current construction costs for projects of a similar nature and current commercial land prices.	Mid-Term	The Park & Rec Master Plan established the need for (2) Pools/Water elements located within the City.	Cost basis includes a splash pad; restrooms; equipment room; shade structures and a tempered shower tower & 2.75 acre site acquisition.
Westside Pool Complex	\$ 11,000,000.00	0	\$ 0	\$ 11,000,000.00	50%	Measure P; General Fund; Existing Fund Balance; State & Federal Grants	50%	Estimate based on current construction costs for similar projects	Long-Term	The Park & Rec Master Plan established the need for (2) Pools/Water elements located within the City.	A single mid-sized pool with 14 swim lanes, plus supporting machinery and facilities
Westside Skatepark	\$ 1,400,000.00	0	\$ 0	\$ 4,000,000.00	50%	Measure P; General Fund; Existing Fund Balance; State & Federal Grants	50%	Estimate based on current construction costs for similar projects	Mid-Term	The Park & Rec Master Plan established the need for (6) Skate Parks located within the City.	Estimate is based on a 20,000 (No Suggestions). skatepark with a restroom facility.
Eagle Ranch Park Restrooms	\$ 280,000.00	0	\$ 0	\$ 350,000.00	0%	Existing DIF Fund Balance	100%	Estimate from 2007 DIF Project List	Short-Term	Existing Project; deficiency notated in Park & Rec Master Plan.	Estimate would cover the manufacturing and install of a pre-fabricated unit with two stalls and approximately 20' x 10'.

Brentwood Park - Restrooms	\$	280,000.00	0	0	\$	350,000.00	0%	Existing DIF Fund Balance	100%	Estimate from 2007 DIF Project List	Short-Term	Existing Project; deficiency notated in Park & Rec Master Plan.	Estimate would cover the manufacturing and install of a pre-fabricated unit with two stalls and approximately 20' x 10'.
Hook Park - Track Field Lighting	\$	400,000.00	0	0	\$	400,000.00	0%	Existing DIF Fund Balance	100%	Estimate based on Musco/Lighting Quote.	Short-Term	Park & Rec Master Plan Recommendation	Estimate would cover the addition of sports field lighting at Hook Park's track.
Shade Structure	\$	150,000.00	0	0	\$	300,000.00	0%	Existing DIF Fund Balance	100%	Quote based on similar project.	Short-Term	Park & Rec Master Plan Recommendation	30 x 60 shade structure would be added to Doris Davies Park to expand covered picnic areas.
Eva Dell Dog Park	\$	150,000.00	0	0	\$	300,000.00	0%	Existing Fund Balance; Measure P	100%	Quote based on similar project.	Short-Term	Park & Rec Master Plan Recommendation	Project will be combined with Grant dollars awarded to renovate Eva Dell Park for 20,000 sq.ft. dog park.
Trails Master Plan	\$	50,000.00	0	0	\$	50,000.00	0%	Existing DIF Fund Balance	100%	Quote based on similar project.	Short-Term	Park & Rec Master Plan Recommendation	Estimate would cover a comprehensive study to address the need for additional trails & connectivity throughout the City.
New Walking Loop	\$	150,000.00	0	0	\$	150,000.00	100%	Existing DIF Fund Balance	100%	Quote based on similar project.	Short-Term	Park & Rec Master Plan Recommendation	Create more connectivity throughout parks system.

<sup>1</sup> Any projects without a location specified, project site will be selected using GRASP or other tools from the Park & Recreation Master Plan - 2020 with the goal to improve access to outdoor recreation.



## Libraries

Facility Name	Facility Cost	Site Acres	Estimated Site Value	Project Total	New Development Cost Share	Alternative Funding Source	Alternative Funding Cost Share	Impact Fee Cost Basis	Timeframe to Complete	Justification	Notes
Central Library Facility	\$ 43,534,968.00	5	\$ 3,077,514.00	\$ 46,612,482.00	9%	Measure P; General Fund; State & Federal Grants	91%	Based upon the cost estimation provided in the Library Master Plan.	Long-Term	The City's Library Master Plan estimates a 72,300 Sq. Ft. for the City's projected population by 2040.	Estimate is for a \$72,300 sq.ft. facility and acquisition for land at five acres.

## Public Buildings

Facility Name	Facility Cost	Site Acres	Estimated Site Value	Project Total	New Development Cost Share	Alternative Funding Source	Alternative Funding Cost Share	Impact Fee Cost Basis	Timeframe to Complete	Justification	Notes
Central Facilities Yard	\$1,569,600	2	\$1,000,000	\$2,569,600	50%	General Fund	50%	Estimated based on current commercial land prices.	Long-Term	The City's Facilities staff is currently only supplied with an aging, re-purposed single family home to utilize as a shop and has a need for a larger space that will allow for larger amounts of storage and a larger area for fabrication when working on projects of a larger scope.	A central located facility for staff and facility equipment; includes acquisition of two acres.
Central Household Hazardous Waste/Consolidated Recycling Facility	\$2,040,000	5	\$2,000,000	\$4,040,000	50%	Solid Waste Fund; Landfill Mitigation Fund	50%	Estimated based on current commercial land prices.	Long-Term	This property would allow the City to consolidate its recycling efforts in one location and add some of the necessary equipment to sort and divert specific material types all at one location.	Facility to house operations and acquisition of five acres.

## Police Facility

Facility Name	Facility Cost	Site Acres	Estimated Site Value	Project Total	New Development Cost Share	Alternative Funding Source	Alternative Funding Cost Share	Impact Fee Cost Basis	Timeframe to Complete	Justification	Notes
Civic Plaza Park & Police Station	\$79,335,000	2.55	\$0	\$79,335,000	22%	American Rescue Plan Act (ARPA) General Fund State & Federal Grants Parks DIF	78%	Based on estimated replacement cost per sq. ft from DIF Study. (50,000 sq. ft PD Building * \$586.70 cost per sq.ft)	Short-Term	Currently the Victorville Police Station does not have the capability of housing additional personnel and the construction of a new Police Department building on City owned land would allow the City to provide and sustain the current level of public safety services for the long-term benefits of the Victorville residents.	Construction of new facility to house operations and acquisition of two and a half acres.

## Fire Protection

Facility Name	Facility Cost	Site Acres	Estimated Site Value	Project Total	New Development Cost Share	Alternative Funding Source	Alternative Funding Cost Share	Impact Fee Cost Basis	Timeframe to Complete	Justification	Notes
Fire Station 316	\$7,000,000	0.98	\$149,940	\$7,149,940	50%	Measure P; General Fund	50%	Estimated based on current land prices.	Long-Term	The construction of Fire Station 316 would allow for the growth and expansion of the western part of the City. These costs are based from the current DIF Study.	Acquisition of approximately one acre of land located in APN book 3133 or 3134 and construction of a new facility.

## DIF Roadway Projects

Road Name	From	To	Estimated Cost	Future Customer Costs	New Development	Alternative Funding Source	Justification	Alternative Funding Cost
National Trails Highway	At Mojave River Bridge		\$21,053,775	\$15,790,331.00	75%	Measure I; General Fund	Circulation Element of	25%
Mojave Dr.	At I-15	Phase 1, 2 to 4 lanes	\$12,862,800	\$3,110,400.00	24%	Measure I; General Fund		76%
Mojave Dr.	At I-15	Phase 2, 4 to 6 lanes	\$15,647,665	\$15,647,665.00	100%			0%
Air Expressway	Phantom East	National Trails Hwy	\$11,111,206	\$11,111,206	100%			0%
Air Expressway	At National Trails Hwy		\$2,102,962	\$2,102,962.00	100%			0%
Amargosa Rd.	Rancho Rd.	Village Dr.	\$3,596,680	\$3,596,680.00	100%			0%
Amethyst Rd.	Rancho Rd.	Hopland Rd.	\$944,453	\$472,227.00	50%	Measure I; General Fund		50%
Amethyst Rd.	Hopland Rd.	Mojave Dr.	\$944,453	\$944,453.00	100%			0%
Amethyst Rd.	Seneca Rd.	Palmdale Rd.	\$1,789,514	\$1,789,514.00	100%			0%
Amethyst Rd.	Sycamore St.	Eucalyptus St.	\$7,000,961	\$7,000,961.00	100%			0%
Baldy Mesa Rd.	Palmdale Rd.	La Mesa Rd.	\$3,579,028	\$1,789,514.00	50%	Measure I; General Fund		50%
Baldy Mesa Rd.	La Mesa Rd.	Olivine Rd.	\$1,193,009	\$1,193,009.00	100%			0%
Bear Valley Rd.	US-395	Monte Vista Rd.	\$4,584,044	\$4,584,044.00	100%			0%
Bear Valley Rd.	Remuda Rd.	330 ft. W. of Jade Rd.	\$1,104,242	\$1,104,242.00	100%			0%
Bellflower Rd.	Palmdale Rd.	Sycamore St.	\$8,426,840	\$8,426,840.00	100%			0%
Cactus Rd.	US-395	0.25 mi. E. of US-395	\$236,113	\$236,113.00	100%			0%
Cantina Dr.	La Mesa Rd.	Palmdale Rd.	\$1,416,680	\$1,416,680.00	100%			0%
Cantina Dr.	Palmdale Rd.	0.25 mi. N. of Palmdale Rd.	\$236,113	\$236,113.00	100%			0%
Civic Dr.	Mojave Dr.	Roy Rogers Dr.	\$491,116	\$491,116.00	100%			0%
El Evado Rd.	Hopland St.	Air Expressway	\$10,448,383	\$10,448,383.00	100%			0%
Eucalyptus St.	Bellflower Rd.	Mesa View Dr.	\$1,146,011	\$573,005.00	50%	Measure I; General Fund		50%
Eucalyptus St.	Mesa View Dr.	US-395	\$1,146,011	\$1,146,011.00	100%			0%
Eucalyptus St.	US-395	Mesa Linda Ave.	\$1,193,009	\$1,193,009.00	100%			0%
Eucalyptus St.	W. of Cobalt Rd.	Amargosa Rd.	\$2,292,022	\$2,292,022.00	100%			0%
Green Tree Blvd.	7th St.	Hesperia Rd.	\$2,554,307	\$2,554,307.00	100%			0%
Holly Rd. (Hopland Rd.)	US-395	Cobalt Rd.	\$1,416,680	\$708,340.00	50%	Measure I; General Fund		50%

Roads, Traffic Signals and Intersection Projects

Hook Blvd.	US-395	0.4 mi. W. of Amethyst Rd.	\$1,482,792	\$1,482,792.00	100%		0%
La Mesa Rd.	White Rd.	Baldy Mesa Rd.	\$2,292,022	\$1,146,011.00	50%	Measure I; General Fund	50%
La Mesa Rd.	Baldy Mesa Rd.	Pena Rd.	\$6,417,661	\$6,417,661.00	100%		0%
La Mesa Rd.	Pena Rd.	Cantina Dr.	\$691,945	\$691,945.00	100%		0%
La Paz Dr.	At I-15 Northbound ramps		\$679,313	\$679,313.00	100%		0%
Mojave Dr.	Village Dr.	Condor Rd.	\$1,623,555	\$1,623,555.00	100%		0%
Mojave Dr.	Condor Rd.	Amargosa Rd.	\$1,553,324	\$1,553,324.00	100%		0%
Monte Vista Rd.	Bear Valley Rd.	Sycamore St.	\$472,227	\$236,114.00	50%	Measure I; General Fund	50%
National Trails Highway	200 ft. S. of Turner Rd.	800 ft. S. of Mojave River Bridge	\$418,423	\$418,423.00	100%		0%
National Trails Highway	N. end of Mojave River Bridge	1st St. at City Limit	\$2,248,422	\$2,248,422.00	100%		0%
Olivine Rd.	Cantina Rd.	Pena Rd.	\$283,336	\$283,336.00	100%		0%
Ottawa St.	Mariposa Rd.	Third Ave.	\$1,435,569	\$1,435,569.00	100%		0%
Ottawa St.	Hesperia Rd.	0.43 mi. E. of Hesperia Rd.	\$406,115	\$406,115.00	100%		0%
Pahute Ave	Locust Ave.	Balsam Rd.	\$236,113	\$236,113.00	100%		0%
Petaluma Rd.	Bear Valley Rd.	0.16 mi. NE of Bear Valley Rd.	\$151,113	\$151,113.00	100%		0%
Rancho Rd.	Cobalt Rd.	Amethyst Rd.	\$538,338	\$269,169.00	50%	Measure I; General Fund	50%
Rancho Rd.	Amethyst Rd.	El Evado Rd.	\$1,855,829	\$1,855,829.00	100%		0%
Rancho Rd.	Amargosa Rd.	National Trails Highway	\$1,086,121	\$1,086,121.00	100%		0%
Seneca Rd.	Hesperia Rd.	GreenTree Blvd.	\$1,822,795	\$1,822,795.00	100%		0%
Seneca Rd.	US-395	Topaz Rd.	\$944,453	\$944,453.00	100%		0%
Seneca Rd.	Topaz Rd.	Amethyst Rd.	\$944,453	\$472,227.00	50%	Measure I; General Fund	50%
Smoke Tree Rd.	Topaz Rd.	Amargosa Rd.	\$6,031,391	\$6,031,391.00	100%		0%
Smoke Tree Rd.	Mesa Linda St.	Topaz Rd.	\$321,114	\$321,114.00	100%		0%
Spring Valley Parkway	Bear Valley Rd.	0.06 mi. N. of Lindero Rd.	\$2,832,859	\$2,832,859.00	100%		0%
Sycamore	US-395	Pena Rd.	\$132,223	\$132,223.00	100%		0%
Tamarisk	Bear Valley Rd.	414 ft. N. of Lindero St.	\$725,462	\$725,462.00	100%		0%
Third Ave.	GreenTree Blvd.	Nisqualli Rd.	\$9,981,140	\$4,990,570.00	50%	Measure I; General Fund	50%
Third Ave.	575 ft. S. of Nisqualli Rd.	Silica Rd.	\$358,892	\$358,892.00	100%		0%

Roads, Traffic Signals and Intersection Projects

Third Ave.	600 ft. N. of Bear Valley Rd.	Bear Valley Rd.	\$122,779	\$122,779.00	100%		0%
Topaz Rd.	Hopland St.	Luna Rd.	\$3,305,586	\$3,305,586.00	100%		0%
Topaz Rd.	Bear Valley Rd.	Sycamore St.	\$481,671	\$481,671.00	100%		0%
Topaz Rd.	Eucalyptus St.	Smoke Tree Rd.	\$982,231	\$982,231.00	100%		0%
White Rd.	La Mesa Rd.	Olivine Rd.	\$472,227	\$236,113.00	50%	Measure I; General Fund	50%
Bear Valley Rd.	At I-15		\$26,950,000	\$4,470,736.00	17%	Measure I; General Fund	83%
Eucalyptus St.	At I-15		\$65,758,000	\$17,664,703.00	27%	Measure I; General Fund	73%
La Mesa Rd. / Nisqualli Rd.	At I-15		\$74,683,501	\$37,341,751.00	50%	Measure I; General Fund	50%
Monte Vista Rd.	Palmdale Rd.	Bear Valley Rd.	\$5,156,000	\$5,156,000.00	100%		0%
National Trails Highway	I-15	0.1 mi. N. of Air Expressway	\$14,213,000	\$6,964,370.00	49%	Measure I; General Fund	51%
Nisqualli Rd.	Balsam Rd.	Hesperia Rd.	\$22,757,000	\$11,150,930.00	49%	Measure I; General Fund	51%
Bear Valley Rd.	Industrial Blvd.	400 ft. E. of Ridgecrest Rd.	\$13,328,856	\$1,995,794.00	15%	Measure I; General Fund	85%
Green Tree Blvd.	Hesperia Rd.	City Limit	\$45,084,000.00	\$22,091,160.00	49%	Measure I; General Fund	51%

<sup>1</sup> Project availability will vary and align with the time the associated project area is developed.

## Under Power Line

Road Name	From	To	Estimated Cost	Future Customer Costs	New Development
Amargosa Rd.	Village Dr.	0.12 mi. N. of Village Dr.	\$305,359	\$305,359	100%
Amargosa Rd.	0.12 mi. N. of Village Dr.	0.40 mi. N. of Village Dr.	\$897,082	\$897,082	100%
Amargosa Rd.	Air Expressway	0.12 mi. S. of Air Expressway	\$384,464	\$384,464	100%
Amethyst Rd.	Hopland St.	0.20 mi. N. of Hopland St.	\$640,773	\$640,773	100%
Hook Blvd.	0.2 mi. E. of US-395	0.4 mi. E. of US-395	\$608,734	\$608,734	100%
Hook Blvd.	Diamond Rd.	Flamenco Pl.	\$256,308	\$256,308	100%
Hopland St.	Amethyst Rd.	0.1 mi. W. of Amethyst Rd.	\$248,972	\$124,486	50%
Hopland St.	Amethyst Rd.	0.1 mi. E. of Amethyst Rd.	\$248,972	\$248,972	100%
La Mesa Rd.	Topaz Rd.	0.10 mi. E. of Topaz Rd.	\$45,999	\$45,999	100%
La Mesa Rd.	0.30 mi. E. of Topaz Rd.	0.46 mi. E. of Topaz Rd.	\$73,599	\$73,599	100%
Rancho Rd.	0.10 mi. E. of El Evado Rd.	0.12 mi. W. of El Evado Rd.	\$704,850	\$704,850	100%
Rancho Rd.	Village Dr.	0.09 mi. W. of Village Dr.	\$224,075	\$224,075	100%
Rancho Rd.	0.33 mi. E. of Boh Ln.	0.78 mi. E. of Boh Ln.	\$1,074,442	\$1,074,442	100%
Seneca Rd.	0.46 mi. E. of US-395	0.52 mi. E. of US-395	\$320,386	\$320,386	100%
Seneca Rd.	Topaz Rd.	0.08 mi. W. of Topaz Rd.	\$256,309	\$256,309	100%
Seneca Rd.	0.34 mi. W. of El Evado Rd.	South Trail	\$295,085	\$295,085	100%
Topaz Rd.	Seneca Rd.	0.15 mi. N. of Seneca Rd.	\$455,859	\$455,859	100%
Village Dr.	0.08 mi. W. of Amargosa Rd.	0.30 mi. W. of Amargosa Rd.	\$101,199	\$101,199	100%
Village Dr.	Rancho Rd.	0.07 mi. N. of Rancho Rd.	\$83,914	\$83,914	100%
Village Dr.	0.10 mi. S. of Air Expressway	0.27 mi. S. of Air Expressway	\$203,791	\$203,791	100%
Air Expressway	0.32 mi. W. of Village Rd.	0.38 mi. W. of Village Rd.	\$108,167	\$108,167	100%
El Evado Rd.	0.1 mi. N. of Hook Bl.	0.37 mi. N. of Hook Bl.	\$543,831	\$543,831	100%
Bear Valley Rd.	0.09 mi. W. of Cobalt Rd.	0.19 mi. W. of Cobalt Rd.	\$229,025	\$229,025	100%
Bear Valley Rd.	0.2 mi. W. of Topaz Rd.	0.3 mi. W. of Topaz Rd.	\$229,025	\$229,025	100%
Mojave Dr.	0.09 mi. E. of El Evado Rd.	0.25 mi. E. of El Evado Rd.	\$387,609	\$387,609	100%
Mojave Dr.	0.02 mi. E. of Cobalt Rd.	0.03 mi. W. of Cobalt Rd.	\$121,128	\$121,128	100%

Roads, Traffic Signals and Intersection Projects

---

Mojave Dr.	0.22 mi. E. of US-395	0.24 mi. E. of US-395	\$48,451	\$48,451	100%
------------	-----------------------	-----------------------	----------	----------	------

---

<sup>1</sup> Project availability will vary and align with the time the associated project area is developed.

---

## SCLA Roadway Projects

Road Name	From	To	Estimated Cost	Future Customer Costs	New Development Cost Share
Air Expressway	0.1 mi. W. of Gateway St.	Phantom West	\$5,066,502.00	\$5,066,502.00	100%
Air Expressway	Phantom West	Phantom East	\$4,873,159.00	\$4,873,159.00	100%
Adelanto Rd.	Innovation Way	Momentum Rd	\$751,344.00	\$751,344.00	100%
Adelanto Rd.	Momentum Rd	El Mirage Rd.	\$1,659,054.00	\$1,659,054.00	100%
Gateway St.	Air Expressway	Innovation Way	\$1,262,259.00	\$1,262,259.00	100%
Gateway St.	Innovation Way	0.15 mi. No. of Innovation Way	\$323,637.00	\$323,637.00	100%
Gateway St.	0.15 mi. No. of Innovation Way	El Mirage Rd.	\$2,405,628.00	\$2,405,628.00	100%
Innovation Way	Adelanto Rd.	Gateway St.	\$961,721.00	\$961,721.00	100%
Innovation Way	Gateway St.	0.35 mi. W. of Phantom West	\$4,637,382.00	\$4,637,382.00	100%
Innovation Way	Phantom West	0.28 mi. E. of Phantom West	\$792,607.00	\$792,607.00	100%
Innovation Way	0.28 mi. E. of Phantom West	Nevada Ave.	\$659,679.00	\$659,679.00	100%
Innovation Way	Nevada Ave.	Phantom East	\$5,353,494.00	\$5,353,494.00	100%
Phantom East	Air Expressway	Innovation Way	\$804,202.00	\$804,202.00	100%
Phantom East	Innovation Way	Nevada Ave.	\$1,084,737.00	\$1,084,737.00	100%
Phantom West	0.25 mi. W. of Nevada Ave.	Nevada Ave.	\$345,095.00	\$345,095.00	100%
Nevada Ave.	Air Expressway	Innovation Way	\$940,087.00	\$940,087.00	100%



Roads, Traffic Signals and Intersection Projects

Nevada Ave.	Innovation Way	0.13 mi. N. of Innovation Way	\$251,734.00	\$251,734.00	100%
Nevada Ave.	0.13 mi. N. of Innovation Way	George Blvd.	250,000	250,000	100%
Nevada Ave.	George Blvd.	Phantom West	1,269,664	1,269,664	100%

<sup>1</sup> Project availability will vary and align with the time the associated project area is developed.

## Citywide Traffic Signals

Road 1	Road 2	Estimated Cost	Future Customer Costs	New Development Cost Share	Alternative Funding Source	Alternative Funding Cost Share
Air Expressway	Village Dr.	\$ 396,000	\$ 396,000	100%	Measure I; General Fund	0%
Air Expressway	National Trails Highway	\$ 528,000	\$ 528,000	100%	Measure I; General Fund	0%
Rancho Rd.	Amethyst Rd.	\$ 396,000	\$ 396,000	100%	Measure I; General Fund	0%
Rancho Rd.	El Evado Rd.	\$ 528,000	\$ 528,000	100%	Measure I; General Fund	0%
Rancho Rd.	Village Dr.	\$ 528,000	\$ 264,000	50%	Measure I; General Fund	50%
Rancho Rd.	Amargosa Rd.	\$ 528,000	\$ 264,000	50%	Measure I; General Fund	50%
Rancho Rd.	National Trails Highway	\$ 528,000	\$ 528,000	100%	Measure I; General Fund	0%
Hopland Rd.	Topaz Rd.	\$ 264,000	\$ 264,000	100%	Measure I; General Fund	0%
Hopland Rd.	Amethyst Rd.	\$ 396,000	\$ 396,000	100%	Measure I; General Fund	0%
Hopland Rd.	El Evado Rd.	\$ 528,000	\$ 264,000	50%	Measure I; General Fund	50%
Amargosa Rd	Village Dr.	\$ 132,000	\$ 132,000	100%	Measure I; General Fund	0%
Mojave Dr.	Topaz Rd.	\$ 528,000	\$ 528,000	100%	Measure I; General Fund	0%
Mojave Dr.	Civic Dr.	\$ 528,000	\$ 528,000	100%	Measure I; General Fund	0%
Hook Blvd.	US-395	\$ 264,000	\$ 264,000	100%	Measure I; General Fund	0%
Hook Blvd.	Topaz Rd.	\$ 528,000	\$ 264,000	50%	Measure I; General Fund	50%
La Paz Dr.	I-15 Northbound Ramp	\$ 264,000	\$ 264,000	100%	Measure I; General Fund	0%
Seneca Rd.	US-395	\$ 528,000	\$ 528,000	100%	Measure I; General Fund	0%
Seneca Rd.	Topaz Rd.	\$ 396,000	\$ 396,000	100%	Measure I; General Fund	0%

Seneca Rd.	Amethyst Rd.	\$	396,000	\$	198,000	50%	Measure I; General Fund	50%
Seneca Rd.	Green Tree Blvd.	\$	528,000	\$	528,000	100%	Measure I; General Fund	0%
Palmdale Rd.	Baldy Mesa Rd.	\$	132,000	\$	132,000	100%	Measure I; General Fund	0%
Palmdale Rd.	Bellflower Rd.	\$	528,000	\$	528,000	100%	Measure I; General Fund	0%
Palmdale Rd.	Topaz Rd.	\$	528,000	\$	528,000	100%	Measure I; General Fund	0%
Palmdale Rd.	Amethyst Rd.	\$	528,000	\$	264,000	50%	Measure I; General Fund	50%
Ottawa St.	Mariposa Rd.	\$	528,000	\$	528,000	100%	Measure I; General Fund	0%
Ottawa St.	Third Ave.	\$	528,000	\$	264,000	50%	Measure I; General Fund	50%
Ottawa St.	Hesperia Rd.	\$	528,000	\$	264,000	50%	Measure I; General Fund	50%
La Mesa Rd.	White Rd.	\$	132,000	\$	132,000	100%	Measure I; General Fund	0%
La Mesa Rd.	Baldy Mesa Rd.	\$	396,000	\$	396,000	100%	Measure I; General Fund	0%
La Mesa Rd.	Monte Vista Rd.	\$	528,000	\$	528,000	100%	Measure I; General Fund	0%
La Mesa Rd.	Bellflower Rd.	\$	528,000	\$	528,000	100%	Measure I; General Fund	0%
La Mesa Rd.	US-395	\$	528,000	\$	264,000	50%	Measure I; General Fund	50%
La Mesa Rd.	Topaz Rd.	\$	528,000	\$	264,000	50%	Measure I; General Fund	50%
Olivine Rd.	US-395	\$	528,000	\$	528,000	100%	Measure I; General Fund	0%
Bear Valley Rd.	Monte Vista Rd.	\$	264,000	\$	264,000	100%	Measure I; General Fund	0%
Bear Valley Rd.	Bellflower Rd.	\$	528,000	\$	528,000	100%	Measure I; General Fund	0%
Bear Valley Rd.	Third Ave.	\$	264,000	\$	264,000	100%	Measure I; General Fund	0%
Bear Valley Rd.	Tamarisk Rd.	\$	264,000	\$	264,000	100%	Measure I; General Fund	0%
Bear Valley Rd.	Spring Valley Pkwy (Realigned)	\$	528,000	\$	264,000	50%	Measure I; General Fund	50%

Sycamore St.	US-395	\$	528,000	\$	264,000	50%	Measure I; General Fund	50%
Eucalyptus St.	Topaz Rd.	\$	528,000	\$	264,000	50%	Measure I; General Fund	50%
Eucalyptus St.	Amethyst Rd.	\$	528,000	\$	528,000	100%	Measure I; General Fund	0%
Eucalyptus St.	Amargosa Rd.	\$	528,000	\$	528,000	100%	Measure I; General Fund	0%
Mesa St.	US-395	\$	528,000	\$	528,000	100%	Measure I; General Fund	0%
Smoke Tree Rd.	Topaz Rd.	\$	528,000	\$	528,000	100%	Measure I; General Fund	0%
Smoke Tree Rd.	Amargosa Rd.	\$	528,000	\$	528,000	100%	Measure I; General Fund	0%
Green Tree Blvd.	7th St.	\$	528,000	\$	264,000	50%	Measure I; General Fund	50%
Green Tree Blvd.	Burning Tree Dr.	\$	528,000	\$	264,000	50%	Measure I; General Fund	50%
Green Tree Blvd.	Arrowhead Dr.	\$	528,000	\$	264,000	50%	Measure I; General Fund	50%
Green Tree Blvd.	Third Ave.	\$	264,000	\$	132,000	50%	Measure I; General Fund	50%
Green Tree Blvd.	Hesperia Rd.	\$	264,000	\$	132,000	50%	Measure I; General Fund	50%
Nisqualli Road	9th Avenue	\$	900,000	\$	900,000	100%	Measure I; General Fund	0%

<sup>1</sup> Project availability will vary and align with the time the associated project area is developed.

## SCLA Intersection Improvements

Road 1	Road 2	Estimated Cost	Future Customer Costs	New Development Cost Share	Alternative Funding Source	Alternative Funding Cost Share
Innovation Way	Adelanto Rd.	\$656,073	\$328,036	50%	Measure I; General Fund	50%
Air Expressway	Gateway Dr.	\$720,109	\$720,109	100%	Measure I; General Fund	0%
Air Expressway	Phantom West	\$460,036	\$460,036	100%	Measure I; General Fund	0%
Air Expressway	Nevada Ave.	\$848,181	\$848,181	100%	Measure I; General Fund	0%
Air Expressway	George	\$396,000	\$396,000	100%	Measure I; General Fund	0%
Air Expressway	Phantom East	\$460,036	\$460,036	100%	Measure I; General Fund	0%
Phantom West	Innovation Way	\$656,073	\$656,073	100%	Measure I; General Fund	0%
Phantom West	Aerospace Dr.	\$528,000	\$528,000	100%	Measure I; General Fund	0%
Phantom West	Sabre	\$656,073	\$656,073	100%	Measure I; General Fund	0%
Phantom West	Nevada Ave.	\$528,000	\$528,000	100%	Measure I; General Fund	0%
Phantom East	Perimeter Rd.	\$528,000	\$528,000	100%	Measure I; General Fund	0%
Innovation Way	Gateway Dr.	\$976,254	\$976,254	100%	Measure I; General Fund	0%
Innovation Way	Nevada Ave.	\$528,000	\$528,000	100%	Measure I; General Fund	0%
Phantom East	Innovation Way	\$784,145	\$784,145	100%	Measure I; General Fund	0%

<sup>1</sup> Project availability will vary and align with the time the associated project area is developed.

Water System Improvement Projects

Street	From	To	Length		New Development Total Cost	New Development Cost Share
Vinton Rd	Palmdale Rd	Olivine Rd	9,240.00	\$	2,131,852.80	100%
Wagon Wheel Rd	Palmdale Rd	La Mesa Rd	7,920.00	\$	1,827,302.40	100%
Wagon Wheel Rd	La Mesa Rd	Lindero Rd	5,280.00	\$	1,218,201.60	100%
Braceo St	Palmdale Rd	Dos Palmas Rd	2,640.00	\$	609,100.80	100%
Braceo St	Luna Rd	Olivine Rd	5,280.00	\$	1,218,201.60	100%
Verbena Rd	Palmdale Rd	Dos Palmas Rd	2,640.00	\$	609,100.80	100%
Verbena Rd	Luna Rd	Sycamore St	10,560.00	\$	2,436,403.20	100%
Bellflower St	Sierra Rd	Sycamore St	660.00	\$	152,275.20	100%
Mesa Linda Ave	Hopland St	Mojave Dr	5,280.00	\$	1,218,201.60	100%
Mesa Linda Ave	Hook Blvd	Palmdale Rd	5,280.00	\$	1,218,201.60	100%
Topaz Rd	Hopland St	Mojave Dr	5,280.00	\$	1,218,201.60	100%
Topaz Rd	Hook Blvd	Seneca Rd	2,640.00	\$	609,100.80	100%
Topaz Rd	Palmdale Rd	Arvila St	1,980.00	\$	456,825.60	100%
cobalt Rd	Hook Blvd	Seneca Rd	2,640.00	\$	609,100.80	100%
Cordova Rd	Rancho Rd	Clovis St	2,640.00	\$	609,100.80	100%
Pacoima Rd	Seneca Rd	Palmdale Rd	2,640.00	\$	609,100.80	100%

Water System Improvement Projects

Cahuenga Rd	Hopland St	Tawney Ridge Ln	4,224.00	\$	974,561.28	100%
11th St	Verde St	Cherry Hill Dr	13,147.20	\$	3,033,321.98	100%
.25mile E of HWY 395	Mesa St	.25 mile south	1,320.00	\$	304,550.40	100%
Cataba Rd	Mesa St	.25 mile south	1,320.00	\$	304,550.40	100%
.5 mile E of Cataba Rd	Mesa St	.25 mile south	1,848.00	\$	426,370.56	100%
Dos Palmas Rd	Caughlin Rd	Braceo St	12,144.00	\$	2,801,863.68	100%
Dos Palmas Rd	Verbena Rd	Fuchsia Ln	4,620.00	\$	1,065,926.40	100%
Luna Rd	Caughlin Rd	White Rd	5,280.00	\$	1,218,202.06	100%
Luna Rd	Caughlin Rd	Braceo St	12,144.00	\$	2,801,863.68	100%
Luna Rd	Monte Vista Rd	Daisy Rd	1,320.00	\$	304,550.40	100%
Luna Rd	Bellflower St	Fremontia Rd	1,320.00	\$	304,550.40	100%
La Mesa Rd	Caughlin Rd	Baldy Mesa Rd	10,560.00	\$	2,436,403.20	100%
La Mesa Rd	Pena Rd	Hwy 395	792.00	\$	182,730.24	100%
Olivine Rd	Caughlin Rd	Braceo St	12,144.00	\$	2,801,863.68	100%
Pahute Ave	Locust Ave	Balsam Rd	1,003.20	\$	231,458.30	100%
Bear Valley Rd	.12 mile E Caughlin Rd	Pueblo Trail Rd	4,065.60	\$	938,015.23	100%
Sycamore St	Caughlin Rd	Vinton Rd	2,640.00	\$	609,100.80	100%

Water System Improvement Projects

Sycamore St	Joshua Rd	Monte Vista Rd	1,320.00	\$	304,550.40	100%
Mesa St	Hwy 395	.25 mile east	1,320.00	\$	304,550.40	100%
Hopland St	Topaz Rd	Cobalt Rd	2,640.00	\$	609,100.80	100%
Cactus St	Hwy 395	Cobalt Rd	7,480.18	\$	1,725,826.21	100%
Mojave Dr	Topaz Rd	Cobalt Rd	2,640.00	\$	609,100.80	100%
Seneca Rd	Hwy 395	Amethyst Rd	10,560.00	\$	2,436,403.20	100%
Clovis St	Cordova Rd	El Evado Rd	8,357.71	\$	1,928,291.31	100%
Rancho Rd	Rancho Rd	Victoria Drive	5,351.28	\$	1,234,647.32	100%
Caughlin Rd	Palmdale Rd	Goss Rd	18,480.00	\$	5,164,420.80	100%
Vinton Rd	Bear Valley Rd	Goss Rd	3,960.00	\$	1,106,661.60	100%
White Rd	Palmdale Rd	Bear Valley Rd	13,200.00	\$	3,688,872.00	100%
Baldy Mesa Rd	La Mesa Rd	Olivine Rd	2,640.00	\$	737,774.40	100%
Monte Vista Rd	Palmdale Rd	Dos Palmas Rd	2,640.00	\$	737,774.40	100%
Monte Vista Rd	Olivine Rd	Sierra Rd	3,960.00	\$	1,106,661.60	100%
Bear Valley Rd	Caughlin Rd	.12 mile east	633.60	\$	177,065.86	100%
Hopland St	Hwy 395	Topaz Rd	5,280.00	\$	1,475,548.80	100%
Baldy Mesa Rd	Palmdale Rd	La Mesa Rd	7,920.00	\$	3,543,091.20	100%



Water System Improvement Projects

Bellflower St	Palmdale Rd	Luna Rd	5,280.00	\$	2,362,060.80	100%
La Mesa Rd	White Rd	Bellflower St	14,784.00	\$	6,613,770.24	100%
Bear Valley Rd	Pena Rd	Hwy 395	792.00	\$	405,781.20	100%
<sup>1</sup> Project availability will vary and align with the time the associated project area is developed.						

Sewer Capacity Projects

Master Plan Project	From	To	Estimated Cost	New Development Cost Share	Alternative Funding Source	Alternative Funding Cost Share
C12	N. of Turner Rd	S. of Rancho Rd	\$ 4,590,300.00	61%	Sewer Fund; General Fund	39%
C13	Village Drive	Rancho Rd	\$ 5,699,220.00	61%	Sewer Fund; General Fund	39%
C14	Cantina Dr	Mesa Linda St	\$ 831,750.00	61%	Sewer Fund; General Fund	39%
C15	Sycamore	Amethyst	\$ 6,211,913.00	61%	Sewer Fund; General Fund	39%
C16	North Star	Dos Palmas	\$ 5,032,080.00	61%	Sewer Fund; General Fund	39%
C17	Baldy Mesa	Monte Vista	\$ 3,336,975.00	61%	Sewer Fund; General Fund	39%
C18	Bell Flower	Mesa Linda	\$ 6,451,718.00	61%	Sewer Fund; General Fund	39%
C19	Mesa Linda	Cobalt	\$ 4,601,025.00	61%	Sewer Fund; General Fund	39%
C20	Dos Palmas	Gaucha CT	\$ 5,958,690.00	61%	Sewer Fund; General Fund	39%
C21	El Evado	Amargosa	\$ 3,056,610.00	61%	Sewer Fund; General Fund	39%
C23	Locust	Sixth	\$ 3,110,685.00	61%	Sewer Fund; General Fund	39%
C24	W. of Ottawa Pl	Third	\$ 4,675,560.00	61%	Sewer Fund; General Fund	39%
C25	Mariposa	Burning Tree	\$ 1,730,850.00	61%	Sewer Fund; General Fund	39%
C28	E of Lambert Lane	Hesperia	\$ 270,420.00	61%	Sewer Fund; General Fund	39%
C34	S. of Tawney Ridge	IWWTP	\$ 37,977,225.00	61%	Sewer Fund; General Fund	39%
New Extension	N/A	N/A	\$ 30,184,583.00	100%	Sewer Fund; General Fund	0%

<sup>1</sup> Project availability will vary and align with the time the associated project area is developed.

Regional Drainage Facilities

Facility Name	Facility Cost	New Development Cost Share	Alternative Funding Source	Alternative Funding Cost Share
Line A-01	\$ 45,436,615.00	53%	General Fund	47%
Line A-02	\$ 4,674,896.00	53%	General Fund	47%
Line A-03	\$ 8,899,710.00	53%	General Fund	47%
Line A-04	\$ 7,688,702.00	53%	General Fund	47%
Line A-06	\$ 23,123,324.00	53%	General Fund	47%
Line A-10C	\$ 2,816,973.00	53%	General Fund	47%
Line A-12	\$ 5,255,298.00	53%	General Fund	47%
Line A-13	\$ 2,726,744.00	53%	General Fund	47%
Line B-01	\$ 26,397,503.00	53%	General Fund	47%
Line D-01	\$ 20,959,268.00	53%	General Fund	47%
Line D-02	\$ 6,875,409.00	53%	General Fund	47%

Regional Drainage Facilities

Line D-03	\$	1,722,404.00	53%	General Fund	47%
Line E-01	\$	48,336,814.00	53%	General Fund	47%
Line E-02	\$	7,715,120.00	53%	General Fund	47%
Line E-03	\$	2,899,514.00	53%	General Fund	47%
Line E-04	\$	2,915,617.00	53%	General Fund	47%
Line E-05	\$	6,808,749.00	53%	General Fund	47%
Line E-06	\$	4,143,998.00	53%	General Fund	47%
Line J-01	\$	7,005,617.00	53%	General Fund	47%
Line J-02	\$	3,386,741.00	53%	General Fund	47%
Line J-03	\$	4,843,040.00	53%	General Fund	47%

<sup>1</sup> Additional project information can be found in:

# SCLA - Storm Drain

Facility Name	Facility Cost	New Development Cost Share	Alternative Funding Source	Alternative Funding Cost Share
Line A - Hydrology ID 4	\$ 649,566.00	79%	General Fund	21%
Line A - Hydrology ID5	\$ 593,902.00	79%	General Fund	21%
Line A - Hydrology ID 9	\$ 483,034.00	79%	General Fund	21%
Line A - Hydrology ID 10	\$ 614,728.00	79%	General Fund	21%
Line A - Hydrology ID 11	\$ 639,122.00	79%	General Fund	21%
Line A - Hydrology ID 13	\$ 814,759.00	79%	General Fund	21%
Line A - Hydrology ID 16	\$ 1,488,032.00	79%	General Fund	21%
Line A1 - Hydrology ID 6	\$ 443,324.00	79%	General Fund	21%
Line A2 - Hydrology ID 12	\$ 179,179.00	79%	General Fund	21%
Line A3 - Hydrology ID 14	\$ 152,379.00	79%	General Fund	21%
Line A3 - Hydrology ID 15	\$ 334,610.00	79%	General Fund	21%

Regional Drainage Facilities

Line B - Hydrology ID 18	\$	495,677.00	79%	General Fund	21%
Line B - Hydrology ID 19	\$	369,808.00	79%	General Fund	21%
Line B - Hydrology ID 20	\$	298,163.00	79%	General Fund	21%
Line B - Hydrology ID 21	\$	635,936.00	79%	General Fund	21%
Line B - Hydrology ID 25	\$	414,029.00	79%	General Fund	21%
Line B - Hydrology ID 26	\$	285,220.00	79%	General Fund	21%
Line B - Hydrology ID 27	\$	356,525.00	79%	General Fund	21%
Line B - Hydrology ID 28	\$	459,112.00	79%	General Fund	21%
Line B - Hydrology ID 30	\$	374,926.00	79%	General Fund	21%
Line B - Hydrology ID 31	\$	954,567.00	79%	General Fund	21%
Line B - Hydrology ID 24	\$	202,336.00	79%	General Fund	21%
Line B-1 Hydrology ID 23	\$	66,554.00	79%	General Fund	21%

Regional Drainage Facilities

Line B-1-1	\$	151,710.00	79%	General Fund	21%
Line B-2	\$	125,913.00	79%	General Fund	21%
Line C Hydrology ID 33.1	\$	23,391.00	79%	General Fund	21%
Line C - Hydrology ID 33	\$	28,694.00	79%	General Fund	21%
Line C - Hydrology ID 34	\$	70,976.00	79%	General Fund	21%
Line C - Hydrology ID 35	\$	150,641.00	79%	General Fund	21%
Line C - Hydrology ID 36	\$	260,425.00	79%	General Fund	21%
Line C - Hydrology ID 37	\$	61,486.00	79%	General Fund	21%

<sup>1</sup> Additional project information can be found in the SCLA Specific Plan Update, dated March 2021.

<sup>2</sup> Project availability will vary and align with the time the associated project area is developed.

# SCLA - Channel Improvements

Facility Name	Facility Cost	New Development Cost Share	Alternative Funding Source	Alternative Funding Cost Share
Air Exwy to Innovation Way	\$ 1,150,952.00	79%	General Fund	21%
Innovation Way	\$ 2,498,778.00	79%	General Fund	21%
West side of KDP (Innovation to Momentum)	\$ 2,218,612.00	79%	General Fund	21%
Momentum (W. side of KDP to Adelanto Rd)	\$ 696,629.00	79%	General Fund	21%
West of Adelanto Rd	\$ 1,045,701.00	79%	General Fund	21%



## SCLA - Access Roads

Facility Name	Facility Cost	New Development Cost Share	Alternative Funding Source	Alternative Funding Cost Share
Air Exwy to Innovation Way	\$ 119,844.00	79%	General Fund	21%
Innovation Way	\$ 209,556.00	79%	General Fund	21%
West side of KDP (Innovation to Momentum)	\$ 190,908.00	79%	General Fund	21%
Momentum (W. side of KDP to Adelanto Rd)	\$ 89,604.00	79%	General Fund	21%
West of Adelanto Rd	\$ 112,838.00	79%	General Fund	21%

## SCLA - Fencing

Facility Name	Facility Cost	New Development Cost Share	Alternative Funding Source	Alternative Funding Cost Share
Air Exwy to Innovation Way	\$ 79,040.00	79%	General Fund	21%
Innovation Way	\$ 171,600.00	79%	General Fund	21%
West side of KDP (Innovation to Momentum)	\$ 152,360.00	79%	General Fund	21%
Momentum (W. side of KDP to Adelanto Rd)	\$ 47,840.00	79%	General Fund	21%

Regional Drainage Facilities

West of Adelanto Rd	\$	71,812.00	79%	General Fund	21%
---------------------	----	-----------	-----	--------------	-----

**SCLA - Basin Excavation**

Facility Name	Facility Cost	New Development Cost Share	Alternative Funding Source	Alternative Funding Cost Share
---------------	---------------	----------------------------	----------------------------	--------------------------------

Flood Control Basin	\$	968,000.00	79%	General Fund	21%
---------------------	----	------------	-----	--------------	-----

Water Quality Basin #1	\$	350,416.00	79%	General Fund	21%
------------------------	----	------------	-----	--------------	-----

Water Quality Basin #2	\$	290,400.00	79%	General Fund	21%
------------------------	----	------------	-----	--------------	-----

Water Quality Basin #3	\$	25,168.00	79%	General Fund	21%
------------------------	----	-----------	-----	--------------	-----

**SCLA - Asphalt Paving - Access Road**

Facility Name	Facility Cost	New Development Cost Share	Alternative Funding Source	Alternative Funding Cost Share
---------------	---------------	----------------------------	----------------------------	--------------------------------

Flood Control Basin	\$	242,926.00	79%	General Fund	21%
---------------------	----	------------	-----	--------------	-----

Water Quality Basin #1	\$	150,536.00	79%	General Fund	21%
------------------------	----	------------	-----	--------------	-----

Water Quality Basin #2	\$	150,536.00	79%	General Fund	21%
------------------------	----	------------	-----	--------------	-----

Water Quality Basin #3	\$	52,553.00	79%	General Fund	21%
------------------------	----	-----------	-----	--------------	-----

## SCLA - Aggregate Base - Access Road

Facility Name	Facility Cost	New Development Cost Share	Alternative Funding Source	Alternative Funding Cost Share
Flood Control Basin	\$ 137,101.00	79%	General Fund	21%
Water Quality Basin #1	\$ 84,958.00	79%	General Fund	21%
Water Quality Basin #2	\$ 84,958.00	79%	General Fund	21%
Water Quality Basin #3	\$ 29,659.00	79%	General Fund	21%

## SCLA - Fencing

Facility Name	Facility Cost	New Development Cost Share	Alternative Funding Source	Alternative Funding Cost Share
Flood Control Basin	\$ 250,638.00	79%	General Fund	21%
Water Quality Basin #1	\$ 155,315.00	79%	General Fund	21%
Water Quality Basin #2	\$ 155,315.00	79%	General Fund	21%
Water Quality Basin #3	\$ 54,221.00	79%	General Fund	21%

# SCLA - Box Culverts

Facility Name	Facility Cost	New Development Cost Share	Alternative Funding Source	Alternative Funding Cost Share
Gateway, S. side of Innovation	\$ 288,552.00	79%	General Fund	21%
Innovation, 710' NW of Gateway	\$ 288,552.00	79%	General Fund	21%
Adelanto Rd, N. side of Momentum /Chamberlaine Way	\$ 288,552.00	79%	General Fund	21%

<sup>1</sup> Additional project information can be found in the SCLA Specific Plan Update, dated March 2021.